APPENDIX II.

QUESTIONS TO WHICH PRINTED ANSWERS WERE FURNISHED BUT WHICH WERE NOT TAKEN UP.

MESSRS. B. L. BYANNA (Bangalore City Municipality); S. MUNIVENKATAPPA (Minorities); B. MUNISAMAPPA and H. R. GURUVA REDDY (Bangalore Taluk).—

Q.—2. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) Whether they are aware that, of late, innumerable land acquisition cases are being referred to the District Judge's Court, Bangalore, by the Bangalore City Municipal Commissioner and Land Acquisition Officer for the disposal of their claims so far as the acquisition of lands to the Municipality are concerned?

(*b*) Whether they are also aware that there has been intense feeling amongst the rate-payers of Bangalore that the Municipal Commissioner, acting as a Land Acquisition Officer, cannot be expected to do proper justice to the parties since he is the head of the Municipal Administration and should naturally side the Municipality?

(*c*) Whether they are also aware that on this account parties who got their cases referred to the District Judge's Court got remedy to the extent of one and a half lakhs of rupees including, of course, court costs, accrued interest, etc., in those two years or so?

(*d*) Whether they are pleased to place on the table the number of such cases referred to the District Judge's Court, Bangalore, for the years 1932-33, 1933-34 and 1934-35, the amount of compensation awarded by the Land Acquisition Officer and Municipal Commissioner in each case and the decretal amount or enhanced compensation granted by the District Judge of Bangalore in each case? (To be given separately).

(*e*) Whether they are also aware that very recently in a certain case the interest accrued on the enhanced compensation comes to more than Rs. 3,000 —let alone the enhanced compensation?

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—2. (*a*) No.

(*b*) No.

(*c*) *Vide* Statement B appended.

(*d*) *Vide* Statement A appended.

(*e*) In Land Acquisition Case No. 21—27-28 in respect of the acquisition of property for improving the Market Circle, interest amounting to Rs. 2,000 was awarded by the District Court.

MR. S. KARIAPPA (Kankanhalli Taluk).—

Q.—3. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) (i) The extent of land (wet, garden and dry) acquired for—

(1) Submersion,
(2) Channels,
(3) Approach roads,

in connection with the Maralwadi tank in Kankanhalli Taluk now under progress?

(ii) The dates on which the above lands were acquired?

(*b*) The number of raiyats whose lands have been acquired for each of the above purposes, *viz.*—

(i) Submersion,
(ii) *Nalas* and
(iii) Approach roads?

(*c*) The dates on which the lands acquired for each of the purposes were handed to the Public Works Department?

(*d*) In respect of which of the lands has compensation been paid?

(*e*) The dates on which the expropriated raiyats have petitioned to Amildars, Sub-Division Officers and others for payment of compensation?

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—*A.*—3. (*a*) (i)—

(1) 352 acres, 15 guntas (information regarding the nature of lands submerged is not readily available).

(2) Dry 28 acres; Wet 1 acre, 6 guntas.

(3) Nil.

(ii) They were formally acquired in April 1932 and May 1934.

(*b*) (i) 50.
(ii) 29.
(iii) Nil.

(*c*) For *submersion*: on 10-2-32 in 34-35 in 35-36
For *nalas*: ... In 34-35 and 35-36

(*d*) Compensation has been paid in respect of the lands acquired in April 1932.

(*e*) To Amildar, Kankanhalli. On 27-7-34
To Sub-Division Officer, Closepet. On 12-9-34 on 7-1-35
To Deputy Commissioner. on 20-3-35 on 19-4-35 on 22-4-35
To Government ... On 13-6-35

MR. K. T. BHASHYAM AIYANGAR (Special Interest).—

Q.—4. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) How many (i) original suits, (ii) regular appeals, (iii) miscellaneous appeals and (iv) other miscellaneous petitions are pending in the District Judge's Court?

(*b*) How many of these are (i) one year old, (ii) two years old, (iii) three years old, (iv) four years old, (v) five years old, and (vi) more than five years old?

(*c*) How many of the classes of cases mentioned in (*a*) have been transferred annually during the last five years to other courts for disposal?

(*d*) How many days annually during the last five years has the District Judge, Bangalore, sat to dispose of (1) civil cases and (2) sessions work?

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—*A.*—4. (*a*) to (*d*) The statements appended furnish the required particulars. (Appendix).

MESSRS. T. MADIAH GOWDA (Channapatna Taluk); R. RANGIAH (Channapatna Municipality); VEERASANJEEVE GOWDA (Closepet Taluk); S. KARIAPPA (Kankanhalli Taluk); and A. M. VENKATASAMIAH (Closepet Municipality).—

Q.—7. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) In how many villages in the Bangalore District are toddy shops located within the village site?

(*b*) In how many places in the Bangalore District are such shops located by the side of public roads?

(*c*) Whether it is under their contemplation to shift the above shops from village sites and road-side to a distance?

GENERAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—7. (*a*) 134 villages.

(*b*) 84 places.

(*c*) The desirability of not locating shops so as to offer undue allurements is always kept in mind and wherever possible, unduly prominent location is avoided.

MR. K. RUDRAPPA (Devanahalli Taluk).—

Q.—8. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) How many applications for insolvency were filed in each of the three preceding years?

(*b*) In how many of the above cases have the applications been granted?

(*c*) What is the total amount involved in the cases in which the applications have been granted?

(*d*) Whether it has come to their notice that the applicants for insolvency put in applications with fraudulent motives?